PATENT COOPERATION T

Translation



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		
W1260-00	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/yea	r) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP2003/014741	19 November 2003 (19.11.200)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no H05K 3/46		27 110 (01100)
Applicant		
SUMIT	TOMO BAKELITE COMPANY	LIMITED
 This report is the international prelim Authority under Article 35 and transi 	inary examination report, established by nitted to the applicant according to Artic	this International Preliminary Examining le 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:		
a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the descri	ption, claims and/or drawings which hav	e been amended and are the basis of this report athority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.		
		type and number of electronic carrier(s))
readable form only, as ind Administrative Instructions	icated in the Supplemental Box Polating	sting and/or tables related thereto, in computer to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
Box No. I Basis of the report		
Box No. II Priority		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
Box No. VI Certain documents cited		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application		
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	of this report
31 March 2004 (31.03.20		August 2004 (04.08.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer	4.44 (04.08.2004)
5	Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.	Telephone No	İ

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

nternational application No.

PCT/JP2003/014741

Box I	No. 1 Basis of the report
1. Wi	th regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless erwise indicated under this item.
oth	
-	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:
1	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
1	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
i	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. Wit furn	h regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been as a same as a sa
	The international application as originally filed/furnished
	the description:
	pages, as originally filed/furnished
ł	received by this Authority on
	pages* received by this Authority on
	the claims:
	pages , as originally filed/furnished
	, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 10
	received by this Authority on
	pages* received by this Authority on
	the drawings:
	pages , as originally filed/furnished
	received by this Authority on
	pages* received by this Authority on
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
. []	
3	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos.
	the drawings, sheets/figs
	the sequence listing (specify):
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
If item	4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."
DC3	

LIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP03/14741

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims 4, 10-22 YES Claims 1-3, 5-9 NO Inventive step (IS) Claims 17-22 YES Claims 1-16 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-22 YES Claims NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP, 2002-329966, A (Sumitomo Bakelite Co., Ltd.), 15 November, 2002(15.11.02) Document 2: JP, 11-54934, A (Ibiden Co., Ltd.), 26 February, 1999 (26.02.99)

Document 3: JP, 7-135375, A (Mitsui Toatsu Chemicals, Inc.), 23 May, 1995 (23.05.95)

The subject matters of claims 1, 2, 5 and 8 do not appear to be novel in view of document 1 cited in the ISR. A circuit board having conductive two-layer posts and protruding terminals covered by a metal coating layer as described in claims 1, 2, 5 and 8 is disclosed in document 1.

The subject matters of claims 3, 6, 7 and 9 do not appear to be novel in view of document 1 cited in the ISR. A circuit board provided with conductive two-layer posts and an adhesive layer having a flux function as stated in claims 3, 6, 7 and 9 is disclosed in document 1.

The subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 cited in ISR. It is considered to be obvious for a person skilled in the art to apply a commonly used method of providing surface coating to conductive circuits, a part of which is left uncoated, in the invention of document 1.

The subject matters of claims 10 to 14 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR. It is considered to be obvious for a person skilled in the art to apply the lamination means for multi-layer wiring board mentioned in document 2, in the invention of document 1.

The subject matters of claims 15 and 16 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 3 cited in the ISR. It is considered to be obvious for a person skilled in the art to apply the method of giving flexibility by means of a single-layer part as stated in document 3 in the invention of document 1.

The subject matters of claims 17-22 appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR. No detailed description of a flexible rigid substrate is found in documents 1-3 and this feature does not appear to be obvious to a person skilled in the art.